arXiv:0908.4327v2 [math.DG] 4 Sep 2009

AN EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR THE YAMABE PROBLEM ON MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY

SIMON BRENDLE AND SZU-YU SOPHIE CHEN

1. Introduction

The Yamabe problem, solved by Trudinger [14], Aubin [1], and Schoen [12], asserts that any Riemannian metric on a closed manifold is conformal to a metric with constant scalar curvature. Escobar [8], [9] has studied analogous questions on manifolds with boundary. To fix notation, let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with boundary ∂M. We denote by R_g the scalar curvature of (M, g) and by κ_g the mean curvature of the boundary ∂M. There are two natural ways to extend the Yamabe problem to manifolds with boundary:

(a) Find a metric $\tilde{g}$ in the conformal class of g such that $R_{\tilde{g}}$ is constant and $\kappa_{\tilde{g}} = 0$.
(b) Find a metric $\tilde{g}$ in the conformal class of g such that $R_{\tilde{g}} = 0$ and $\kappa_{\tilde{g}}$ is constant.

The boundary value problem (a) was first proposed by Escobar [8]. The boundary value problem (b) is studied in [9] and [11].

In this paper, we focus on the boundary value problem (a). The solvability of (a) is equivalent to the existence of a critical point of the Yamabe functional. This functional is defined by

$$E_g(u) = \frac{\int_M (\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \, |du|_g^2 + R_g \, u^2) \, d\text{vol}_g + \int_{\partial M} 2\kappa_g \, u^2 \, d\sigma_g}{\big(\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, d\text{vol}_g\big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}},$$

where u is a smooth positive function on M. Moreover, the Yamabe constant is defined as

$$Y(M, \partial M, g) = \inf_{0 < u \in C^\infty(M)} E_g(u).$$

It is well known that $Y(M, \partial M, g)$ is invariant under a conformal change of the metric g. Moreover, $Y(M, \partial M, g) \leq Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+)$, where $Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+)$ denotes the Yamabe constant of the hemisphere S^n_+ equipped with the standard metric.

The first author was partially supported by a Brown foundation fellowship and by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-0905628. The second author was supported in part by the Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science and the National Science Foundation.

Proving the existence of a minimizer for the functional E_g is a difficult problem, as E_g does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. The following existence result was established by Escobar [8].

Theorem 1 (J. Escobar [8]). *If $Y(M, \partial M, g) < Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+)$, then there exists a metric $\tilde{g}$ in the conformal class of g such that $R_{\tilde{g}}$ is constant and $\kappa_{\tilde{g}}$ is equal to 0.*

Theorem 1 should be compared to Aubin's existence theorem for the Yamabe problem on manifolds without boundary (cf. [1]).

In dimension $3 \leq n \leq 5$, Escobar showed that $Y(M, \partial M, g) < Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+)$ unless M is conformally equivalent to the hemisphere S^n_+. In dimension $n \geq 6$, Escobar was able to verify the inequality $Y(M, \partial M, g) < Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+)$ under the assumption that the boundary ∂M is not umbilic.

Therefore, it remains to consider the case that $n \geq 6$ and ∂M is umbilic. For abbreviation, we put $d = [\frac{n-2}{2}]$. As in [4], we denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ the set of all points $p \in M$ such that

$$\limsup_{x \to p} d(p, x)^{2-d} \, |W_g(x)| = 0,$$

where W_g denotes the Weyl tensor of (M, g). In other words, a point $p \in M$ belongs to $\mathcal{Z}$ if and only if $D^m W_g(p) = 0$ for all $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-2\}$. Note that the set $\mathcal{Z}$ is invariant under a conformal change of the metric.

The following is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 2. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 6$ with umbilic boundary ∂M. Moreover, let $p \in \partial M$ be an arbitrary point on the boundary of M. If $p \notin \mathcal{Z}$, then $Y(M, \partial M, g) < Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+)$. Consequently, there exists a metric $\tilde{g}$ in the conformal class of g such that $R_{\tilde{g}}$ is constant and $\kappa_{\tilde{g}}$ is equal to 0.*

n case $p \in \mathcal{Z}$, we are able to show that $Y(M, \partial M, g) < Y(S^n_+, \partial S^N_+)$, provided that a certain asymptotically flat manifold has positive ADM mass (see Theorem 20 below).

We now give an outline of the proof of Theorem 2. By a theorem of Marques [11], we may work in conformal Fermi coordinates around p. We define a function u_ε by

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}. \tag{1}$$

The function u_ε satisfies

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon = -n(n-2)\, u_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$

and

$$u_\varepsilon \, \partial_i \partial_k u_\varepsilon - \frac{n}{n-2} \, \partial_i u_\varepsilon \, \partial_k u_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n} \Big(u_\varepsilon \, \Delta u_\varepsilon - \frac{n}{n-2} \, |du_\varepsilon|^2 \Big) \, \delta_{ik}.$$

These identities reflect the fact that the metric $u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \, \delta_{ik}$ is Einstein.

We then consider a sum of the form $u_\varepsilon + w$, where u_ε is given by (1) and w is a correction term. This function is only defined in a small neighborhood of the point p. In order to extend the testfunction to all of M, we glue the function $u_\varepsilon + w$ to the Greens function of the conformal Laplacian with pole at p.

In order to show that the resuling testfunction has Yamabe energy less than $Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+)$, we make extensive use of techniques developed in [4] (see also [5], [6], [7]). In [4], these techniques were used to prove a convergence theorem for the parabolic Yamabe flow in dimension $n \geq 6$. The convergence of the Yamabe flow in dimension $3 \leq n \leq 5$ was shown in [3].

2. Auxiliary results

In this section, we consider the halfspace $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n \geq 0\}$. Moreover, we assume that $H_{ik}(x)$ is a trace-free symmetric two-tensor on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- At each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $H_{in}(x) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- At each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^n H_{ik}(x)\, x_k = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- At each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $\partial_n H_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Finally, we assume that the components $H_{ik}(x)$ are polynomials of the form

$$H_{ik}(x) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} h_{ik,\alpha}\, x^\alpha,$$

where the sum is taken over all multi-indices α of length $2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d$.

As in [4], we define

$$A_{ik} = \sum_{m=1}^n \partial_i \partial_m H_{mk} + \sum_{m=1}^n \partial_m \partial_k H_{im} - \Delta H_{ik} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{m,p=1}^n \partial_m \partial_p H_{mp}\, \delta_{ik}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{ijkl} &= \partial_i \partial_k H_{jl} - \partial_i \partial_l H_{jk} - \partial_j \partial_k H_{il} + \partial_j \partial_l H_{ik} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2}\,(A_{jl}\,\delta_{ik} - A_{jk}\,\delta_{il} - A_{il}\,\delta_{jk} + A_{ik}\,\delta_{jl}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\partial_l Z_{ijkl} = \frac{n-3}{n-2}\,(\partial_i A_{jk} - \partial_j A_{ik}).$$

Lemma 3. *We have $A_{in}(x) = 0$ for all points $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all indices $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.*

Proof. Note that $\partial_n H_{im}(x) = 0$ for all points $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i, m \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. If we differentiate this identity in tangential direction, we obtain

$$A_{in}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \partial_m \partial_n H_{im}(x) = 0$$

for all points $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Lemma 4. *Assume that $Z_{ijkl}(x) = 0$ for all points $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $H_{ik}(x) = A_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.*

Proof. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_{ik}(x) &= \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \partial_i \partial_m H_{mk}(x) + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \partial_m \partial_k H_{im}(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \partial_m \partial_m H_{ik}(x) - \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{m,p=1}^{n} \partial_m \partial_p H_{mp}(x)\, \delta_{ik}\end{aligned}$$

for all points $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all indices $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. By assumption, we have

$$\partial_n \partial_n H_{ik}(x) + \frac{1}{n-2}\,(A_{ik}(x) + A_{nn}(x)\,\delta_{ik}) = Z_{inkn}(x) = 0$$

for all points $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all indices $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}_{ik}(x) &= A_{ik}(x) + \partial_n \partial_n H_{ik}(x) - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{m,p=1}^{n-1} \partial_m \partial_p H_{mp}(x)\, \delta_{ik} \\ &= A_{ik}(x) + \partial_n \partial_n H_{ik}(x) + \frac{1}{n-2}\, A_{nn}(x)\, \delta_{ik} \\ &= \frac{n-3}{n-2}\, A_{ik}(x)\end{aligned}$$

for all points $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all indices $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_i \partial_k H_{jl}(x) - \partial_i \partial_l H_{jk}(x) - \partial_j \partial_k H_{il}(x) + \partial_j \partial_l H_{ik}(x) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-2}\,(A_{jl}(x)\,\delta_{ik} - A_{jk}(x)\,\delta_{il} - A_{il}(x)\,\delta_{jk} + A_{ik}(x)\,\delta_{jl}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-3}\,(\hat{A}_{jl}(x)\,\delta_{ik} - \hat{A}_{jk}(x)\,\delta_{il} - \hat{A}_{il}(x)\,\delta_{jk} + \hat{A}_{ik}(x)\,\delta_{jl})\end{aligned}$$

for all points $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all indices $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Using Proposition 7 in [4], we conclude that $H_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. This implies $A_{ik}(x) = \frac{n-2}{n-3}\,\hat{A}_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Proposition 5. *Assume that $Z_{ijkl}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $H_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $H_{ik}(x)$ is homogenous of degree $d' \geq 2$. By assumption, we have $Z_{ijkl}(x) = 0$ for all

$x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and all $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. This implies

$$\partial_i A_{jk}(x) - \partial_j A_{ik}(x) = \frac{n-2}{n-3} \sum_{l=1}^n \partial_l Z_{ijkl}(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and all $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We next define

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{d'(d'-1)} \sum_{i,k=1}^n A_{ik}(x)\, x_i\, x_k$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Clearly, $\varphi(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d'. Moreover, we have

$$\partial_k \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{d'-1} \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ik}(x)\, x_i$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. This implies

$$\partial_i \partial_k \varphi(x) = A_{ik}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Using the identity $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H_{ii}(x) = 0$,we obtain

$$\partial_n \partial_n \varphi(x) = A_{nn}(x) = -\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{ii}(x) = -\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_i \partial_i \varphi(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. By Lemma 3, we have $\partial_n \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{d'-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{in}(x)\, x_i = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 4 that $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{d'(d'-1)} \sum_{i,k=1}^{n-1} A_{ik}(x)\, x_i\, x_k = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$. Putting these facts together, we conclude that $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

Therefore, we have $A_{ik}(x) = \partial_i \partial_k \varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. This implies

$$\partial_n \partial_n H_{ik}(x) = Z_{inkn}(x) - \frac{1}{n-2} \left(A_{ik}(x) + A_{nn}(x)\, \delta_{ik}\right) = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. On the other hand, we know that $H_{ik}(x) = \partial_n H_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. From this, it follows that $H_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.

For each $r > 0$, we denote by $U_r \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$ the open ball of radius $\frac{r}{4}$ centered at the point $(0, \ldots, 0, \frac{3r}{2})$. Moreover, let $u_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}_+^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by (1).

Proposition 6. *There exists a constant K_1, depending only on n, such that*

$$\sum_{2 \le |\alpha| \le d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\, r^{2|\alpha|-4+n} \le K_1 \int_{U_r} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n |Z_{ijkl}(x)|^2\, dx$$

for all $r > 0$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5 that the assertion holds for $r = 1$. The general case follows by scaling.

Proposition 7. *Let V be a smooth vector field on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Moreover, let*

$$T_{ik} = H_{ik} - \partial_i V_k - \partial_k V_i + \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{div} V \, \delta_{ik}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ik,l} &= u_\varepsilon \, \partial_l T_{ik} - \frac{2}{n-2} \, \partial_i u_\varepsilon \, T_{kl} - \frac{2}{n-2} \, \partial_k u_\varepsilon \, T_{il} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{p=1}^n \partial_p u_\varepsilon \, T_{ip} \, \delta_{kl} + \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{p=1}^n \partial_p u_\varepsilon \, T_{kp} \, \delta_{il}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant K_2, depending only on n, such that

$$\sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2 \, \varepsilon^{n-2} \, r^{2|\alpha|+2-n} \leq K_2 \int_{(B_{2r}(0) \setminus B_r(0)) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n |Q_{ik,l}(x)|^2 \, dx$$

for all $r \geq \varepsilon$.

Proof. In [4], the first author showed that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n |Z_{ijkl}|^2 &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \partial_j (u_\varepsilon^{-1} \, Q_{ik,l}) \, Z_{ijkl} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n u_\varepsilon^{-2} \, \partial_k u_\varepsilon \, Q_{il,j} \, Z_{ijkl} \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [4], p. 555). Let us fix a smooth cut-off function $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,1]$ such that $\eta(x) = 1$ for $x \in U_1$ and $\eta(x) = 0$ for $x \notin (B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0)) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$. In particular, we have $\eta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n |Z_{ijkl}(x)|^2 \, \eta(x/r) \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n u_\varepsilon(x)^{-1} \, Q_{ik,l}(x) \, \partial_j \big[Z_{ijkl}(x) \, \eta(x/r) \big] \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n u_\varepsilon(x)^{-2} \, \partial_k u_\varepsilon(x) \, Q_{il,j}(x) \, Z_{ijkl}(x) \, \eta(x/r) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{U_r} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n |Z_{ijkl}(x)|^2\,dx \\ &\leq K_3\,\varepsilon^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\,r^{n-3}\,\bigg(\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,r^{2|\alpha|-4+n}\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\qquad\cdot\bigg(\int_{(B_{2r}\setminus B_r(0))\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+}\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n |Q_{ik,l}(x)|^2\,dx\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

for all $r \geq \varepsilon$. Here, K_3 is a positive constant that depends only on n. On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 6 that

$$\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,r^{2|\alpha|-4+n} \leq K_1\int_{U_r}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n |Z_{ijkl}(x)|^2\,dx.$$

Putting these facts together, the assertion follows.

Corollary 8. *Let V be a smooth vector field on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Moreover, let*

$$T_{ik} = H_{ik} - \partial_i V_k - \partial_k V_i + \frac{2}{n}\,\operatorname{div} V\,\delta_{ik}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{ik,l} &= u_\varepsilon\,\partial_l T_{ik} - \frac{2}{n-2}\,\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,T_{kl} - \frac{2}{n-2}\,\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,T_{il} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n-2}\sum_{p=1}^n \partial_p u_\varepsilon\,T_{ip}\,\delta_{kl} + \frac{2}{n-2}\sum_{p=1}^n \partial_p u_\varepsilon\,T_{kp}\,\delta_{il}.\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant K_4, depending only on n, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2}\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+}(\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\ &\leq K_4\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+}\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n |Q_{ik,l}(x)|^2\,dx\end{aligned}$$

for all $\delta \geq 2\varepsilon$.

3. The main estimate

We now describe the construction of the test function. Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 6$ with umbilic boundary ∂M. After changing the metric conformally, we may assume that ∂M is totally geodesic.

Let us fix a point $p \in \partial M$, and let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ denote the Fermi coordinates around p. In these coordinates, the metric has the following properties:

- At each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $g_{in}(x) = \delta_{in}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- At each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^n g_{ik}(x)\, x_k = x_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- At each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $\partial_n g_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

By a theorem of Marques, there exists a system of conformal Fermi coordinates around p (see [11], Proposition 3.1). Hence, after performing a conformal change of the metric, we may assume that $\det g(x) = 1 + O(|x|^{2d+2})$, where $d = [\frac{n-2}{2}]$.

In the next step, we write $g(x) = \exp(h(x))$, where $h(x)$ is a smooth function taking values in the space of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. This function has the following properties:

- At each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $h_{in}(x) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- At each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^n h_{ik}(x)\, x_k = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- At each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $\partial_n h_{ik}(x) = 0$ for all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Moreover, we have $\operatorname{tr} h(x) = O(|x|^{2d+2})$. For abbreviation, we denote by

$$H_{ik}(x) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} h_{ik,\alpha}\, x^\alpha$$

the Taylor polynomial of order d associated with the function $h_{ik}(x)$. Clearly, $H_{ik}(x)$ is a trace-free symmetric two-tensor on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Moreover, we have $h_{ik}(x) = H_{ik}(x) + O(|x|^{d+1})$.

Let us fix a non-negative smooth function such that $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \leq \frac{4}{3}$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \frac{5}{3}$. Given any $\delta > 0$, we define a cut-off function $\chi_\delta : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\chi_\delta(x) = \chi(|x|/\delta)$. By Theorem 24, there exists a smooth vector field V on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ with the following properties:

- At each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k \Big[u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, (\chi_\delta\, H_{ik} - \partial_i V_k - \partial_k V_i + \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{div} V\, \delta_{ik}) \Big] = 0$$

  for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- At each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $V_n(x) = \partial_n V_i(x) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

By Corollary 26, the vector field V satisfies the estimate

$$|\partial^\beta V^{(\varepsilon,\delta)}(x)| \leq C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\, (\varepsilon + |x|)^{|\alpha|+1-|\beta|} \tag{2}$$

for every multi-index β and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Here, C is a positive constant that depends only on n and $|\beta|$.

For abbreviation, we define

$$S_{ik} = \partial_i V_k + \partial_k V_i - \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{div} V\, \delta_{ik},$$
$$T_{ik} = H_{ik} - S_{ik},$$

$$Q_{ik,l} = u_\varepsilon \, \partial_l T_{ik} - \frac{2}{n-2} \, \partial_i u_\varepsilon \, T_{kl} - \frac{2}{n-2} \, \partial_k u_\varepsilon \, T_{il} + \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{p=1}^n \partial_p u_\varepsilon \, T_{ip} \, \delta_{kl} + \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{p=1}^n \partial_p u_\varepsilon \, T_{kp} \, \delta_{il},$$

and

$$w = \sum_{l=1} \partial_l u_\varepsilon \, V_l + \frac{n-2}{2n} \, u_\varepsilon \operatorname{div} V.$$

By definition of V, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k (u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, T_{ik}) = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all points $x \in B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. This implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \Big(u_\varepsilon \, \partial_k T_{ik} + \frac{2n}{n-2} \, \partial_k u_\varepsilon \, T_{ik} \Big) = 0 \tag{4}$$

for all points $x \in B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. The following result was established in [4]:

Proposition 9 (S. Brendle [4])**.** *There exists a smooth vector field ξ on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4} \, u_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_l H_{ik} \, \partial_l H_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} \, u_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k H_{ik} \, \partial_l H_{il} \\
&- 2 \, u_\varepsilon \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k u_\varepsilon \, H_{ik} \, \partial_l H_{il} - \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k u_\varepsilon \, \partial_l u_\varepsilon \, H_{ik} \, H_{il} \\
&- 2 \, u_\varepsilon \, w \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i \partial_k H_{ik} + \frac{8(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i u_\varepsilon \, \partial_k w \, H_{ik} \\
&- \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \, |dw|^2 + \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \, n(n+2) \, u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \, w^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n Q_{ik,l} \, Q_{ik,l} + 2 \, u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \sum_{i,k=1}^n T_{ik} \, T_{ik} + \operatorname{div} \xi
\end{aligned}$$

for all points $x \in B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$.

The vector field ξ can be expressed in terms of the tensor H_{ik} and the vector field V (cf. [4], Section 2). In the next step, we show that ξ is tangential along $\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$. To that end, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 10. *At each point $x \in B_\delta(0) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have*

$$S_{in}(x) = T_{in}(x) = 0$$

and

$$\partial_n S_{ik}(x) = \partial_n T_{ik}(x) = 0$$

for all $i, k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. *Moreover, we have* $\partial_n S_{nn}(x) = \partial_n T_{nn}(x) = 0$ *and* $\partial_n w(x) = 0$.

Proof. By assumption, we have $V_n(x) = \partial_n V_i(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$. This implies $S_{in}(x) = T_{in}(x) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. This implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Big(u_\varepsilon(x)\, \partial_k T_{kn}(x) + \frac{2n}{n-2}\, \partial_k u_\varepsilon(x)\, T_{kn}(x) \Big) = 0.$$

Using (4), we obtain

$$u_\varepsilon(x)\, \partial_n T_{nn}(x) + \frac{2n}{n-2}\, \partial_n u_\varepsilon(x)\, T_{nn}(x) = 0.$$

This implies $\partial_n T_{nn}(x) = 0$, hence $\partial_n S_{nn}(x) = 0$. Consequently, we have $\partial_n \partial_n V(x) = 0$. From this, the assertion follows easily.

Lemma 11. *We have* $\xi_n(x) = 0$ *for all points* $x \in B_\delta(0) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Proof. The vector field ξ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_n &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^n u_\varepsilon\, w\, \partial_k H_{nk} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i(u_\varepsilon\, w)\, H_{in} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\, u_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_n S_{ik}\, H_{ik} - u_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,l=1}^n \partial_l S_{il}\, H_{in} - 2\, u_\varepsilon \sum_{i,l=1}^n \partial_l u_\varepsilon\, S_{il}\, H_{in} \\
&\quad + u_\varepsilon\, w \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k S_{nk} - \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i(u_\varepsilon\, w)\, S_{in} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\, u_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_n S_{ik}\, S_{ik} + \frac{1}{2}\, u_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,l=1}^n \partial_l S_{il}\, S_{in} + u_\varepsilon \sum_{i,l=1}^n \partial_l u_\varepsilon\, S_{il}\, S_{in} \\
&\quad + \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i u_\varepsilon\, w\, S_{in} - \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\, w\, \partial_n w \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{n-2}\, u_\varepsilon \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_k u_\varepsilon\, T_{ik}\, T_{in}
\end{aligned}$$

(see [4], Section 2). Using Lemma 10, we conclude that $\xi_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in B_\delta(0) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

Proposition 12. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \bigg[\frac{1}{4}\,u_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_l H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}\,u_\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il}\bigg] \\
&- \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \bigg[2\,u_\varepsilon \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k u_\varepsilon\,H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il} + \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k u_\varepsilon\,\partial_l u_\varepsilon\,H_{ik}\,H_{il}\bigg] \\
&- \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \bigg[2\,u_\varepsilon\,w \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i\partial_k H_{ik} - \frac{8(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i u_\varepsilon\,\partial_k w\,H_{ik}\bigg] \\
&- \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \bigg[\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dw|^2 - \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,n(n+2)\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,w^2\bigg] \\
&\geq 2\lambda \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2} \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\
&- C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\delta^{2|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

for $\delta \geq 2\varepsilon$. Here, λ and C are positive constants that depend only on n.

Proof. We consider the identity in Proposition 9 and integrate over $B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+$. By Corollary 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n Q_{ik,l}\,Q_{ik,l} \\
&\geq 8\lambda \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2} \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+3-2n}\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = 1/(8K_4)$ is a positive constant that depends only on n. Moreover, it follows from 2 that

$$|\xi(x)| \leq C\,\varepsilon^{n-2} \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,(\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+3-2n}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Using Lemma 11 and the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \operatorname{div}\xi &= \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,\xi_i - \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^n_+} \xi_n \\
&\leq C\,\varepsilon^{n-2} \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{2|\alpha|+2-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting these facts together, the assertion follows.

Finally, we need the following estimate for the scalar curvature R_g.

Proposition 13. *The scalar curvature R_g satisfies the estimates*

$$\Big|R_g - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i \partial_k H_{ik}\Big| \leq C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,|x|^{|\alpha|} + C\,|x|^{d-1} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|R_g - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i \partial_k h_{ik} + \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k (H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il}) \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_l H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{ik}\Big| \\
&\leq C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,|x|^{2|\alpha|} \\
&\quad + C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,|x|^{|\alpha|+d-1} + C\,|x|^{2d}
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

if $|x|$ is sufficiently small.

Proof. This follows easily from Proposition 25 in [5] (see also Corollary 12 in [4], where geodesic normal coordinates are considered).

Our goal is to estimate the Yamabe energy of $u_\varepsilon + w$. To that end, we proceed in several steps:

Proposition 14. *There exist positive constants λ, C, δ_0 such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|d(u_\varepsilon + w)|_g^2 + R_g\,(u_\varepsilon + w)^2\Big) \\
&\leq \int_{B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|du_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,n(n+2)\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,w^2 \\
&+ \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,(u_\varepsilon^2\,\partial_k h_{ik} - 2\,u_\varepsilon\,\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,h_{ik}) \\
&- \lambda \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2} \int_{B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} (\varepsilon + |x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\
&+ C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2} + C\,\delta^{2d+4-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2}
\end{aligned}$$

if $0 < 2\varepsilon \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$. The constant λ depends only on n. The constants C, δ_0 depend on the background manifold (M, g).

Proof. Let us write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|d(u_\varepsilon+w)|_g^2+R_g\,(u_\varepsilon+w)^2\\
&=\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|du_\varepsilon|^2+\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,n(n+2)\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,w^2\\
&+\frac{8(n-1)}{n-2}\,J^{(1)}+J^{(2)}+J^{(3)}+J^{(4)}+J^{(5)}+J^{(6)}+J^{(7)},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{(1)}&=\sum_{i=1}^n\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,\partial_i w\\
J^{(2)}&=-\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\sum_{i,k=1}^n\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,h_{ik}+u_\varepsilon^2\sum_{i,k=1}^n\partial_i\partial_k h_{ik}\\
J^{(3)}&=-u_\varepsilon^2\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n\partial_k(H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il})-2\,u_\varepsilon\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il}\\
J^{(4)}&=-\frac14\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n u_\varepsilon^2\,\partial_l H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{ik}+\frac12\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n u_\varepsilon^2\,\partial_k H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il}\\
&\quad+2\,u_\varepsilon\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il}+\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,\partial_l u_\varepsilon\,H_{ik}\,H_{il}\\
&\quad+2\,u_\varepsilon\,w\sum_{i,k=1}^n\partial_i\partial_k H_{ik}-\frac{8(n-1)}{n-2}\sum_{i,k=1}^n\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,\partial_k w\,H_{ik}\\
&\quad+\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dw|^2-\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,n(n+2)\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,w^2\\
J^{(5)}&=\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\sum_{i,k=1}^n\left[g^{ik}-\delta_{ik}+h_{ik}-\frac12\sum_{l=1}^n H_{il}\,H_{kl}\right]\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,\partial_k u_\varepsilon\\
&\quad+\Bigg[R_g-\sum_{i,k=1}^n\partial_i\partial_k h_{ik}+\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n\partial_k(H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il})\\
&\qquad-\frac12\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n\partial_k H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il}+\frac14\sum_{i,k,l=1}^n\partial_l H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{ik}\Bigg]\,u_\varepsilon^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{(6)} &= \frac{8(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i,k=1}^n (g^{ik} - \delta_{ik} + H_{ik})\, \partial_i u_\varepsilon\, \partial_k w \\
&\quad + 2 \Big[R_g - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i \partial_k H_{ik} \Big]\, u_\varepsilon\, w \\
J^{(7)} &= R_g\, w^2 + \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i,k=1}^n (g^{ik} - \delta_{ik})\, \partial_i w\, \partial_k w.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the divergence theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} J^{(1)} &= \int_{B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i \Big[\partial_i u_\varepsilon\, w + \frac{(n-2)^2}{2}\, u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\, V_i \Big] \\
&= \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|} \Big[\partial_i u_\varepsilon\, w + \frac{(n-2)^2}{2}\, u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\, V_i \Big] \\
&\leq C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\, \delta^{|\alpha|+2-n}\, \varepsilon^{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We next observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&J^{(2)} - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i (u_\varepsilon^2\, \partial_k h_{ik} - 2\, u_\varepsilon\, \partial_k u_\varepsilon\, h_{ik}) \\
&= 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \Big(u_\varepsilon\, \partial_i \partial_k u_\varepsilon - \frac{n}{n-2}\, \partial_i u_\varepsilon\, \partial_k u_\varepsilon \Big)\, h_{ik} \\
&= \frac{2}{n} \Big(u_\varepsilon\, \Delta u_\varepsilon - \frac{n}{n-2}\, |du_\varepsilon|^2 \Big)\, \operatorname{tr} h \\
&\leq C\, \varepsilon^{n-2}\, (\varepsilon + |x|)^{2d+4-2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} J^{(2)} \\
&\leq \sum_{i,k=1}^n \partial_i (u_\varepsilon^2\, \partial_k h_{ik} - 2\, u_\varepsilon\, \partial_k u_\varepsilon\, h_{ik}) + C\, \delta^{2d+4-n}\, \varepsilon^{n-2} \\
&\leq \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\, (u_\varepsilon^2\, \partial_k h_{ik} - 2\, u_\varepsilon\, \partial_k u_\varepsilon\, h_{ik}) + C\, \delta^{2d+4-n}\, \varepsilon^{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} J^{(3)} &= -\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \partial_k(u_\varepsilon^2\,H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il}) \\ &= -\int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i,k,l=1}^n \frac{x_k}{|x|}\,u_\varepsilon^2\,H_{ik}\,\partial_l H_{il} \\ &\leq C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\delta^{2|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 12, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} J^{(4)} \\ &\leq -2\lambda \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2} \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\ &+ C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\delta^{2|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2}.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the terms $J^{(5)}$, $J^{(6)}$, and $J^{(7)}$. Using Proposition 13, we obtain the pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned}J^{(5)} + J^{(6)} + J^{(7)} &\leq C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2}\,(\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+4-2n} \\ &\quad + C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\varepsilon^{n-2}\,(\varepsilon+|x|)^{|\alpha|+d+3-2n} \\ &\quad + C\,\varepsilon^{n-2}\,(\varepsilon+|x|)^{2d+4-2n}\end{aligned}$$

for $x \in B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Using Young's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}J^{(5)} + J^{(6)} + J^{(7)} &\leq \lambda \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2}\,(\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n} \\ &\quad + C\,\varepsilon^{n-2}\,(\varepsilon+|x|)^{2d+4-2n}\end{aligned}$$

for $x \in B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Integration over $B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (J^{(5)} + J^{(6)} + J^{(7)}) \\ &\leq \lambda \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2} \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\ &+ C\,\delta^{2d+4-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Putting these facts together, the assertion follows.

Proposition 15. *If δ_0 is sufficiently small, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \Big(u_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{n+2}{n-2}\,w^2\Big)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \\
&\leq \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (u_\varepsilon + w)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \\
&+ C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^n \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (\varepsilon + |x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\
&+ C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{|\alpha|-n}\,\varepsilon^n
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < 2\varepsilon \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 14 in [4]. We omit the details.

Proposition 16. *If δ_0 is sufficiently small, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|du_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,n(n+2)\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,w^2 \\
&\leq Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+) \bigg(\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (u_\varepsilon + w)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
&+ \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon \\
&+ C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^n \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (\varepsilon + |x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\
&+ C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{|\alpha|-n}\,\varepsilon^n
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < 2\varepsilon \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 15 in [4]. We first observe that

$$4n(n-1)\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\bigg)^{\frac{2}{n}} = Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+).$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|du_\varepsilon|^2 - \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon \\
&+ \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,n(n+2)\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,w^2 \\
&= -\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,\Delta u_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon + \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,n(n+2)\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,w^2 \\
&= \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} 4n(n-1)\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,\Big(u_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{n+2}{n-2}\,w^2\Big) \\
&\leq Y(S^n_+,\partial S^n_+)\,\bigg(\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \Big(u_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{n+2}{n-2}\,w^2\Big)^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the assertion follows from Proposition 15.

4. Proof of the main result

In this section, we construct a smooth function $v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ with Yamabe energy less than $Y(S^n_+,\partial S^n_+)$. The existence of such a function is trivial when $Y(M,\partial M,g) \leq 0$. Hence, it suffices to consider the case $Y(M,\partial M,g) > 0$. As in the previous section, we fix a boundary point $p \in \partial M$. Moreover, we denote by $G : M \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}$ the Greens function for the conformal Laplacian with Neumann boundary condition with pole at p. In other words, G satisfies

$$\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,\Delta_g G - R_g\,G = 0$$

in $M\setminus\{p\}$ and $\partial_\nu G = 0$ along $\partial M\setminus\{p\}$. We assume that $G_p(x)$ is normalized so that $\lim_{x\to 0}|x|^{n-2}\,G(x) = 1$. With this normalization, we have

$$\big|G(x) - |x|^{2-n}\big| \leq C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,|x|^{|\alpha|+2-n} + C\,|x|^{d+3-n}. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, we consider the flux integral

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(p,\delta) &= \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,\big(|x|^{2-n}\,\partial_i G - G\,\partial_i|x|^{2-n}\big) \\
&\quad - \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} |x|^{1-2n} \sum_{i,k=1}^n x_i\,\big(|x|^2\,\partial_k h_{ik} - 2n x_k\,h_{ik}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small.

We next define a function $v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} = \chi_\delta\,(u_\varepsilon + w) + (1-\chi_\delta)\,\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,G, \tag{8}$$

where χ_δ is the cut-off function defined above. Our main result is an upper bound for the Yamabe energy of $v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}$:

Proposition 17. *If δ_0 is sufficiently small, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dv_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}|_g^2 + R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^2\Big)\,d\text{vol}_g \\
&\leq Y(S_+^n, \partial S_+^n)\,\bigg(\int_M v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\,d\text{vol}_g\bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} - \varepsilon^{n-2}\,\mathcal{I}(p,\delta) \\
&- \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2}\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^n}(\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\
&+ C\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2} + C\,\delta^{2d+4-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2} + C\,\delta^{-n}\,\varepsilon^n
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < 2\varepsilon \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$.

Proof. For abbreviation, we denote by Ω_δ the set of all points in M such that $x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2 < \delta^2$, where $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ denote the Fermi coordinates around p. (In other words, Ω_δ is a coordinate ball, not a geodesic ball.) Using the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{M\setminus\Omega_\delta}\Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dv_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}|_g^2 + R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^2\Big)\,d\text{vol}_g \\
&= -\int_{M\setminus\Omega_\delta}\Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,\Delta_g v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} - R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}\Big)\,\big(v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} - \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,G\big)\,d\text{vol}_g \\
&- \int_{\partial\Omega_\delta}\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,\partial_\nu v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}\,d\sigma_g \\
&- \int_{\partial\Omega_\delta}\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,(v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}\,\partial_\nu G - G\,\partial_\nu v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)})\,d\sigma_g,
\end{aligned}$$

where ν denotes the outward-pointing unit normal to $\partial\Omega_\delta$. Note that

$$v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} - \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,G = \chi_\delta\,\big(u_\varepsilon + w - \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,G\big)$$

in $M\setminus\Omega_\delta$. In particular, we have $v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} - \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,G = 0$ in $M\setminus\Omega_{2\delta}$. Using (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{M\setminus\Omega_\delta}\big|v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} - \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\,G\big| + \delta^2\sup_{M\setminus\Omega_\delta}\Big|\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,\Delta_g v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} - R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}\Big| \\
&\leq C\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + C\,\delta^{d+3-n}\,\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + C\,\delta^{-n}\,\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{M \setminus \Omega_\delta} \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \, \Delta_g v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} - R_g \, v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} \Big) \, \big(v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} - \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \, G \big) \, d\mathrm{vol}_g \\
&\leq C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2 \, \delta^{2|\alpha|+2-n} \, \varepsilon^{n-2} + C \, \delta^{2d+4-n} \, \varepsilon^{n-2} + C \, \delta^{-n-2} \, \varepsilon^{n+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We next observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{\partial \Omega_\delta} \partial_\nu u_\varepsilon \, u_\varepsilon \, d\sigma_g \\
&\leq - \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|} \, \partial_i u_\varepsilon \, u_\varepsilon + \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|} \, u_\varepsilon \, \partial_k u_\varepsilon \, h_{ik} \\
&+ C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2 \, \delta^{2|\alpha|+2-n} \, \varepsilon^{n-2} + C \, \delta^{2d+4-n} \, \varepsilon^{n-2},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{\partial \Omega_\delta} \partial_\nu v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} \, v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} \, d\sigma_g \\
&\leq - \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|} \, \partial_i u_\varepsilon \, u_\varepsilon + \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|} \, u_\varepsilon \, \partial_k u_\varepsilon \, h_{ik} \\
&+ C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}| \, \delta^{|\alpha|+2-n} \, \varepsilon^{n-2} + C \, \delta^{2d+4-n} \, \varepsilon^{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{\partial \Omega_\delta} \big(v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} \, \partial_\nu G - G \, \partial_\nu v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)} \big) \, d\sigma_g \\
&\leq - \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|} \, \big(u_\varepsilon \, \partial_i G - G \, \partial_i u_\varepsilon \big) \\
&+ C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2 \, \delta^{2|\alpha|+2-n} \, \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + C \, \delta^{2d+4-n} \, \varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting these facts together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{M\setminus\Omega_\delta} \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dv_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}|_g^2 + R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^2\Big)\,d\text{vol}_g \\
&\leq -\int_{\partial\Omega_\delta} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon + \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,u_\varepsilon\,\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,h_{ik} \\
&- \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,(u_\varepsilon\,\partial_i G - G\,\partial_i u_\varepsilon) \\
&+ C\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2} + C\,\delta^{2d+4-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2} + C\,\delta^{-n-2}\,\varepsilon^{n+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 14 and Proposition 16 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_\delta} \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dv_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}|_g^2 + R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^2\Big)\,d\text{vol}_g \\
&\leq Y(S^n_+,\partial S^n_+)\,\bigg(\int_{\Omega_\delta} v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\,d\text{vol}_g\bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} + \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,\partial_i u_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon \\
&+ \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,(u_\varepsilon^2\,\partial_k h_{ik} - 2\,u_\varepsilon\,\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,h_{ik}) \\
&- \frac{\lambda}{2}\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2}\int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} (\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\
&+ C\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2} + C\,\delta^{2d+4-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we add the last two inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dv_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}|_g^2 + R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^2\Big)\,d\text{vol}_g \\
&\leq Y(S_+^n,\partial S_+^n)\,\bigg(\int_{\Omega_\delta} v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\,d\text{vol}_g\bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
&+ \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^n} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,\Big(u_\varepsilon^2\,\partial_k h_{ik} + \frac{2n}{n-2}\,u_\varepsilon\,\partial_k u_\varepsilon\,h_{ik}\Big) \\
&- \int_{\partial B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,\varepsilon^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{|x|}\,(u_\varepsilon\,\partial_i G - G\,\partial_i u_\varepsilon) \\
&- \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,\varepsilon^{n-2} \int_{B_\delta(0)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^n} (\varepsilon+|x|)^{2|\alpha|+2-2n}\,dx \\
&+ C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|\,\delta^{|\alpha|+2-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2} + C\,\delta^{2d+4-n}\,\varepsilon^{n-2} + C\,\delta^{-n-2}\,\varepsilon^{n+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, the assertion follows easily.

Theorem 18. *Assume that $p \notin \mathcal{Z}$. Then $Y(M,\partial M,g) < Y(S_+^n,\partial S_+^n)$.*

Proof. Since $p \notin \mathcal{Z}$, we have $\sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d}\sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2 > 0$. Using Proposition 17, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dv_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}|_g^2 + R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^2\Big)\,d\text{vol}_g \\
&< Y(S_+^n,\partial S_+^n)\,\bigg(\int_M v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\,d\text{vol}_g\bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}
\end{aligned}$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. From this, the assertion follows.

In the remainder of this section, we study the case $p \in \mathcal{Z}$. In this case, we consider the manifold $(M\setminus\{p\}, G^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,g)$. This manifold is scalar flat and its boundary is totally geodesic. After doubling this manifold, we obtain an asymptotically flat manifold with zero scalar curvature.

Proposition 19. *Assume that $p \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then the following statements hold:*
(i) The limit $\lim_{\delta\to 0}\mathcal{I}(p,\delta)$ exists.
(ii) The doubling of $(M\setminus\{p\}, G^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,g)$ has a well-defined mass which equals $\lim_{\delta\to 0}\mathcal{I}(p,\delta)$ up to a positive factor.

Proof. For abbreviation, let $\overline{g} = G^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\,g$. We consider the inverted coordinates $y = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$, where $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ are conformal Fermi coordinates

around p. In these coordinates, the metric $\overline{g}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{g}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\Big) &= \Big[1 + \Phi\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big)\Big]^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \\ &\quad \cdot |y|^{-4} \sum_{i,k=1}^n (|y|^2\,\delta_{ij} - 2y_i y_j)\,(|y|^2\,\delta_{kl} - 2y_k y_l)\,g_{ik}\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(x) = |x|^{n-2}\,G(x) - 1$. Using the relations $g_{ik}(x) = \delta_{ik} + h_{ik}(x) + O(|x|^{2d+2})$ and $\Phi(x) = O(|x|^{d+1})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{g}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\Big) &= \Big[1 + \frac{4}{n-2}\,\Phi\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big)\Big]\,\delta_{jl} \\ &\quad + |y|^{-4} \sum_{i,k=1}^n (|y|^2\,\delta_{ij} - 2y_i y_j)\,(|y|^2\,\delta_{kl} - 2y_k y_l)\,h_{ik}\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) \\ &\quad + O(|y|^{-2d-2}).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $\overline{g}\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\big) = \delta_{jl} + O(|y|^{-d-1})$. Hence, the doubling of $(M \setminus \{p\}, \overline{g})$ is asymptotically flat in the sense of Bartnik [2], and has a well-defined ADM mass.

Since $\operatorname{tr} h = O(|x|^{2d+2})$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j,l=1}^n y_j\,\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\overline{g}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\Big) = -\frac{4n}{n-2}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{y_j}{|y|^2}\,(\partial_j\Phi)\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) + O(|y|^{-2d-2}).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j,l=1}^n y_j\,\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\overline{g}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\Big) &= -\frac{4}{n-2}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{|y|^2}\,(\partial_i\Phi)\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{y_i}{|y|^2}\,(\partial_k h_{ik})\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) \\ &\quad + 2n\sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{y_i\,y_k}{|y|^2}\,h_{ik}\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) + O(|y|^{-2d-2}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_i \Phi(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Phi(x)$. Putting these facts together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j,l=1}^n y_j \, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} \overline{g}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\Big) - \sum_{j,l=1}^n y_j \, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \overline{g}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\Big) \\
&= \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{|y|^2} \, (\partial_i \Phi)\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{y_i}{|y|^2} \, (\partial_k h_{ik})\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) + 2n \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{y_i \, y_k}{|y|^2} \, h_{ik}\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) \\
&\quad + O(|y|^{-2d-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial B_{\frac{1}{\delta}}(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{j,l=1}^n \frac{y_j}{|y|} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} \overline{g}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\Big) - \int_{\partial B_{\frac{1}{\delta}}(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \sum_{j,l=1}^n \frac{y_j}{|y|} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \overline{g}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l}\Big) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \, |x|^{3-2n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \, \partial_i \Phi(x) \\
&\quad - \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} |x|^{3-2n} \sum_{i,k=1}^n x_i \, (\partial_k h_{ik})(x) + \int_{\partial B_\delta(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} 2n \, |x|^{1-2n} \sum_{i,k=1}^n x_i \, x_k \, h_{ik}(x) \\
&\quad + O(\delta^{2d+4-n}) \\
&= \mathcal{I}(p, \delta) + O(\delta^{2d+n-4}).
\end{aligned}$$

As $\delta \to 0$, the left hand side converges to a positive multiple of the ADM mass. From this the assertion follows.

Theorem 20. *Assume that $p \in \mathcal{Z}$. If $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{I}(p, \delta)$ is positive, then $Y(M, \partial M, g) < Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+)$.*

Proof. Since $p \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have $\sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \sum_{i,k=1}^n |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2 = 0$. By Proposition 17, we can find positive real numbers δ_0 and C such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \, |dv_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}|^2_g + R_g \, v^2_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}\Big) \, \mathrm{dvol}_g \\
&\leq Y(S^n_+, \partial S^n_+) \, \bigg(\int_M v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, \mathrm{dvol}_g\bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} - \varepsilon^{n-2} \, \mathcal{I}(p, \delta) \\
&\quad + C \, \delta^{2d+4-n} \, \varepsilon^{n-2} + C \, \delta^{-n} \, \varepsilon^n
\end{aligned}$$

whenever $0 < 2\varepsilon \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$. Since $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{I}(p, \delta)$ is positive, we can find a real number $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ such that $\mathcal{I}(p, \delta) > C \, \delta^{2d+4-n}$. In the next step, we choose $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\delta}{2}]$ small enough so that $\mathcal{I}(p, \delta) > C \, \delta^{2d+4-n} + C \, \delta^{-n} \, \varepsilon^2$. For

this choice of ε and δ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M \Big(\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\,|dv_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}|_g^2 + R_g\,v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^2\Big)\,d\text{vol}_g \\ &< Y(S_+^n, \partial S_+^n)\,\bigg(\int_M v_{(\varepsilon,\delta)}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\,d\text{vol}_g\bigg)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Appendix A. An elliptic system on $\mathbb{R}_+^n$

In this section, we describe the construction of the vector field V. In the following, we consider the hemisphere S_+^n, equipped with the round metric of constant sectional curvature 4. We denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the space of all vector fields V on S_+^n such that V is of class H^1 and $\langle V, \nu\rangle = 0$ along ∂S_+^n. Moreover, we denote by $\mathcal{Y}$ the space of all trace-free symmetric two-tensors on S_+^n of class L^2. We next define a linear operator $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}V = \widehat{\mathscr{L}_V g} = \mathscr{L}_V g - \frac{2}{n}\,(\text{div}_g\,V)\,g.$$

In other words, $\mathcal{D}$ is the conformal Killing operator.

Lemma 21. *We have*

$$\|\nabla V\|_{L^2(S_+^n)}^2 \leq \|\mathcal{D}V\|_{L^2(S_+^n)}^2 + 4(n-1)\,\|V\|_{L^2(S_+^n)}^2$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that V is smooth. By definition of $\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{D}V\|_{L^2(S_+^n)}^2 = \int_{S_+^n} \Big[\nabla_i V^k\,\nabla^i V_k + \nabla_i V^k\,\nabla_k V^i - \frac{2}{n}\,(\text{div}_g\,V)^2\Big]\,d\text{vol}_g.$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S_+^n} \nabla_i V^k\,\nabla_k V^i\,d\text{vol}_g &= -\int_{S_+^n} V^k\,\nabla_i\nabla_k V^i\,d\text{vol}_g \\ &= -\int_{S_+^n} V^k\,\nabla_k\nabla_i V^i\,d\text{vol}_g - \int_{S_+^n} \text{Ric}_{ik}\,V^i\,V^k\,d\text{vol}_g \\ &= \int_{S_+^n} (\text{div}_g\,V)^2\,d\text{vol}_g - 4(n-1)\int_{S_+^n} |V|^2\,d\text{vol}_g.\end{aligned}$$

Putting these facts together, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{D}V\|_{L^2(S_+^n)}^2 + 4(n-1)\,\|V\|_{L^2(S_+^n)}^2 = \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(S_+^n)}^2 + \frac{n-2}{n}\,\|\text{div}_g\,V\|_{L^2(S_+^n)}^2.$$

From this, the assertion follows.

It follows from Lemma 21 and Rellich's theorem that $\ker \mathcal{D}$ is finite-dimensional. We now consider the subspace

$$\mathcal{X}_0 = \{V \in \mathcal{X} : \langle V, W\rangle_{L^2(S^n_+)} = 0 \text{ for all } W \in \ker \mathcal{D}\}.$$

Lemma 22. *We have*

$$\|V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} + \|\nabla V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} \leq K \, \|\mathcal{D}V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)}$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{X}_0$. Here, K is a positive constant that depends only on n.

Proof. Suppose that the assertion is false. Then we can find a sequence of vector fields $V^{(\nu)} \in \mathcal{X}_0$ such that

$$\|V^{(\nu)}\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} + \|\nabla V^{(\nu)}\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} = 1 \tag{9}$$

for all ν and $\|\mathcal{D}V^{(\nu)}\|_{L^2(S^n_+)} \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$. After passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $V^{(\nu)}$ converges weakly to a vector field $W \in \mathcal{X}_0$. Then $\mathcal{D}W = 0$. Since $W \in \mathcal{X}_0$, we conclude that $W = 0$. This implies $\|V^{(\nu)}\|_{L^2(S^n_+)} \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$. Using Lemma 21, we obtain $\|\nabla V^{(\nu)}\|_{L^2(S^n_+)} \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$. This contradicts (9).

Proposition 23. *Given any $h \in \mathcal{Y}$, there exists a unique vector field $V \in \mathcal{X}_0$ such that $\langle h - \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}W\rangle_{L^2(S^n_+)} = 0$ for all $W \in \mathcal{X}$. The vector field V satisfies the estimate*

$$\|V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} + \|\nabla V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} \leq K \, \|h\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 22 that the operator $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X}_0 \to \mathcal{Y}$ has closed range. Hence, we can find a vector field $V \in \mathcal{X}_0$ such that $\|h - \mathcal{D}V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)}$ is minimal. This vector field satisfies $\langle h - \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}W\rangle_{L^2(S^n_+)} = 0$ for all $W \in \mathcal{X}_0$. This proves the existence statement.

We next assume that $V \in \mathcal{X}_0$ is a vector field satisfying $\langle h - \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}W\rangle_{L^2(S^n_+)} = 0$ for all $W \in \mathcal{X}_0$. This implies $\langle h - \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}V\rangle = 0$, hence $\|\mathcal{D}V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} \leq \|h\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)}$. Thus, we conclude that

$$\|V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} + \|\nabla V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} \leq K \, \|\mathcal{D}V\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)} \leq K \, \|h\|^2_{L^2(S^n_+)}$$

by Lemma 22. In particular, if $h = 0$, then $V = 0$. From this, the uniqueness statement follows.

In the next step, we consider the stereographic projection from S^n_+ to $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \cup \{\infty\}$. The metric g can be written in the form $g_{ik} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \, \delta_{ik}$, where

$$u(x) = \Big(\frac{1}{1 + |x|^2}\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

Theorem 24. *Let h be a trace-free symmetric two-tensor on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. We assume that h is smooth and has compact support. Then there exists a smooth vector field V on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ with the following properties:*

- *At each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k \Big[u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \big(h_{ik} - \partial_i V_k - \partial_k V_i + \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{div} V \, \delta_{ik} \big) \Big] = 0$$

  for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- *At each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $V_n(x) = \partial_n V_i(x) - h_{in}(x) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.*

Moreover, the vector field V satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u(x)^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n-2}} \, |V(x)|^2 \, dx \leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u(x)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, |h(x)|^2 \, dx. \tag{11}$$

Proof. By Proposition 23, there exists a smooth vector field $V \in \mathcal{X}_0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \langle u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \, h - \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}W \rangle_g \, d\text{vol}_g = 0$$

for all vector fields $W \in \mathcal{X}$. This implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \big(h_{ik} - \partial_i V_k - \partial_k V_i + \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{div} V \, \delta_{ik} \big) \, \partial_k W_i \, dx = 0 \tag{12}$$

for all $W \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $V \in \mathcal{X}_0$, we have $V_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$.

By assumption, h is smooth. Using general regularity results for elliptic systems (cf. [10], [13]), we conclude that V is smooth. Using (12), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k \Big[u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \big(h_{ik} - \partial_i V_k - \partial_k V_i + \frac{2}{n} \operatorname{div} V \, \delta_{ik} \big) \Big] = 0$$

for all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Moreover, at each point $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$, we have $\partial_n V_i(x) - h_{in}(x) = 0$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Finally, the estimate (11) follows immediately from (10).

Proposition 25. *Fix a real number σ such that $1 < \sigma < n-2$. Let h be a trace-free symmetric two-tensor on $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ which is smooth and has compact support. Moreover, let V be the vector field constructed in Theorem 24. Finally, let us assume that*

$$\sup_{r \geq 1} r^{-2\sigma-n-2} \int_{(B_{2r}(0) \setminus B_r(0)) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+} |V(x)|^2 \, dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists a constant C, depending only on n and σ, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{r\geq 1} r^{-2\sigma-n-2} \int_{(B_{2r}(0)\setminus B_r(0))\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} |V(x)|^2\,dx\\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (1+|x|^2)^{-n-2}\,|V(x)|^2\,dx\\ &+ C \sup_{r\geq 1} r^{-2\sigma-n} \int_{(B_{2r}(0)\setminus B_r(0))\cap\mathbb{R}^n_+} |h(x)|^2\,dx.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Proof. We extend V and h to $\mathbb{R}^n$ by reflection. More precisely, we define a vector field $\tilde{V}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) &= \tilde{V}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},-x_n) = V_i(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n)\\ \tilde{V}_n(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) &= -\tilde{V}_n(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},-x_n) = V_n(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$. Similarly, we define a trace-free symmetric two-tensor $\tilde{h}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}_{ik}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) &= \tilde{h}_{ik}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},-x_n) = h_{ik}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n)\\ \tilde{h}_{in}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) &= -\tilde{h}_{in}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},-x_n) = h_{in}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n)\\ \tilde{h}_{nk}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) &= -\tilde{h}_{nk}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},-x_n) = h_{nk}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n)\\ \tilde{h}_{nn}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) &= \tilde{h}_{nn}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},-x_n) = h_{nn}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i,k \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$.

Since $V \in \mathcal{X}$, we have $V_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}^n_+$. Consequently, the vector field $\tilde{V}$ is a vector field on S^n of class H^1. We claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \sum_{i,k=1} \big(\tilde{h}_{ik} - \partial_i\tilde{V}_k - \partial_k\tilde{V}_i + \frac{2}{n}\,\mathrm{div}\,\tilde{V}\,\delta_{ik}\big)\,\partial_k\tilde{W}_i\,dx = 0 \tag{14}$$

for all vector fields $\tilde{W}$ on S^n of class H^1. In order to prove (14), we fix a vector field $\tilde{W}$ of class H^1. We then define a vector field W on S^n_+ by

$$\begin{aligned}W_i(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) &= \tilde{W}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) + \tilde{W}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},-x_n)\\ W_n(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) &= \tilde{W}_n(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) - \tilde{W}_n(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},-x_n)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and all $i \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$. Clearly, $W \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \big(h_{ik} - \partial_i V_k - \partial_k V_i + \frac{2}{n}\,\mathrm{div}\,V\,\delta_{ik}\big)\,\partial_k W_i\,dx = 0$$

by definition of V. From this, the identity (14) follows easily.

We now complete the proof of Proposition 25. Using Proposition 23 in [4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{r\geq 1} r^{-2\sigma-n-2} \int_{B_{2r}(0)\setminus B_r(0)} |\tilde{V}(x)|^2\,dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (1+|x|^2)^{-n-2}\,|\tilde{V}(x)|^2\,dx \\ &+ C \sup_{r\geq 1} r^{-2\sigma-n} \int_{B_{2r}(0)\setminus B_r(0)} |\tilde{h}(x)|^2\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Here, C is a positive constant that depends only on σ and n. (In [4], this result was stated in the special case that $\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{h}$ are smooth, but the proof only requires that $\tilde{h}$ belongs to L^2 and $\tilde{V}$ is of class H^1.) From this the assertion follows.

Corollary 26. *Consider a trace-free symmetric two-tensor of the form*

$$h_{ik}(x) = \chi(|x|/\rho) \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} h_{ik,\alpha}\,x^\alpha,$$

where $d = [\frac{n-2}{2}]$, $\rho \geq 1$, and $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed cutoff function satisfying $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 2$. Let V be the vector field constructed in Theorem 24. Then, for every multi-index β, we have

$$|\partial^\beta V(x)|^2 \leq C \sum_{2\leq|\alpha|\leq d} |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2\,(1+|x|^2)^{|\alpha|+1-|\beta|} \tag{15}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Here, C is positive constant which depends on n and $|\beta|$, but not on ρ.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$h_{ik}(x) = \chi(|x|/\rho) \sum_{|\alpha|=d'} h_{ik,\alpha}\,x^\alpha,$$

where $2 \leq d' \leq d$. Since $d' < \frac{n}{2}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (1+|x|^2)^{-n}\,|h(x)|^2\,dx \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=d'} |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2$$

for some uniform constant C. Using (11), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (1+|x|^2)^{-n-2}\,|V(x)|^2\,dx \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=d'} |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2.$$

We now apply Proposition 25 with $\sigma = d'$. This yields

$$\sup_{r\geq 1} r^{-2d'-n-2} \int_{\{r\leq|x|\leq 2r\}} |V(x)|^2\,dx \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=d'} |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2.$$

Using elliptic estimates, we conclude that

$$|\partial^\beta V(x)|^2 \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=d'} |h_{ik,\alpha}|^2 \, (1 + |x|^2)^{d'+1-|\beta|}$$

for every multi-index β.

References

[1] T. Aubin, *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 55, 269–296 (1976)

[2] R. Bartnik, *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39, 661–693 (1986)

[3] S. Brendle, *Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy,* J. Diff. Geom. 69, 217–278 (2005)

[4] S. Brendle, *Convergence of the Yamabe flow in dimension* 6 *and higher,* Invent. Math. 170, 541–576 (2007)

[5] S. Brendle, *Blow-up phenomena for the Yamabe equation,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21, 951–979 (2008)

[6] S. Brendle and F. Marques, *Blow-up phenomena for the Yamabe equation II,* J. Diff. Geom. 81, 225–250 (2009)

[7] S. Brendle, *On the conformal scalar curvature equation and related problems,* Surveys in Differential Geometry vol. XII, 1–19 (2008)

[8] J. Escobar, *The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary,* J. Diff. Geom. 35, 21–84 (1992)

[9] J. Escobar, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature at the boundary,* Ann. of Math. 136, 1–50 (1992)

[10] L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators,* Springer-Verlag, New York (1963)

[11] F. Marques, *Existence results for the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 54, 1599–1620 (2005)

[12] R.M. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature,* J. Diff. Geom. 20, 479–495 (1984)

[13] M. Taylor, *Partial differential equations III,* Springer-Verlag, New York (1997)

[14] N. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds,* Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 22, 265–274 (1968)

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540